

Q&A for Comparable Proposals for the I-70 Mountain Corridor Eligible Project

1. **RFSOI Page 8, Section 4.3 – Format, Page Limit, and Quantities** – Please clarify if the required Form A – Certification of Prequalification, Form B – Summary of Comparable Contracts in the Past Ten Years, and Form C – Receipt of Addenda/Clarifications are included in the 25-page limit.
ANSWER: Forms, addenda and appendices are not part of the page limit.
2. **RFSOI Page 8, Section 4.3 – Format, Page Limit, and Quantities** – Please clarify which items are identified as appendices and not included in the 25-page limit.
ANSWER: Forms, addenda and appendices are not part of the page limit.
3. **RFSOI Page 8, Section 4.3 – Format, Page Limit, and Quantities** – States that all printing, except front cover must be Times New Roman, 12 pt. font. Does the 12 pt. Times New Roman requirement also pertain to text that is shown in graphics, exhibits, and other illustrative and graphical information, section dividers, and required forms?
ANSWER: No
4. **RFSOI Page 8, Section 4.4.1 – Cover Letter:** Please verify if the required Cover Letter is included in the 25-page limit for the SOI or if the 2-page limit cover letter is not included in the 25-page limit.
ANSWER: No
5. **RFSOI Page 9, Section 4.4.2 – Experience of Proposer** (Form B) – On Form B, question No. 4, asks to describe the “Type” of project. Can an additional type of project be included (i.e. “Other”) to better demonstrate the type of project we are trying to show similar experience?
ANSWER: Yes
6. **RFSOI Page 10, Section 4.4.3 – Project Understanding and Approach:** There are two sub-sections numbered 4.4.3.1. Please verify if these should be corrected to the following:
 - a. 4.4.3.1 – Conceptual Project Management Approach
 - b. 4.4.3.2 – Conceptual Technical Plan
 - c. 4.4.3.3 – Conceptual Financial Plan
 - d. 4.4.3.4 – Project Risks**ANSWER: Yes, good catch, the RFSOI has been corrected.**
7. **RFSOI Page 17, EXHIBITS / FORMS** – Can word files for the three required forms be provided to proponents?
ANSWER: No. But you can create your own forms that answer the questions addressed on each form.
8. As per Section 5.3, Additional Requirements, “All firms submitting a SOI must be pre-qualified prior to the SOI submittal date.” In the case of a consortium of firms, could you clarify if it is necessary for all members of the consortium to be pre-qualified, or is it sufficient for the prime firm or one of the members of the consortium to be pre-qualified?
ANSWER: Only the prime firm needs to be prequalified.

Q&A for Comparable Proposals for the I-70 Mountain Corridor Eligible Project

9. Following the award for the Project to a Qualified Party, what is the compensation structure envisioned by CDOT for the Qualified Party services leading to a full concession procurement process?

ANSWER: There is no pre-determined compensation structure. We will ask for a cost/risk structure from shortlisted firms in the RFP.

10. Please reconfirm that the Title Page and Table of Contents are included in the 25 total page count for the SOI. (Reference: RFSOI Page 8, Paragraph 4.3)

ANSWER: Yes, the Title Page and Table of Contents are included in the 25 page limit.

11. May we use a smaller font size than Time New Roman 12 point for graphics? (Reference: RFSOI Page 8, Paragraph 4.3)

ANSWER: Yes. (See question #3 above for further info)

12. RFSOI Page 8, Section 4.4.1 and Form B - Please define major participants. What percentage of work constitutes this designation?

ANSWER: There will not be a specific percentage designation of what defines major participation. Interested parties should use their best judgment of what constitutes major participation based on their proposed organization structure.

13. RFSOI Page 9, Section 4.4.2 and Form B – Page 9 states ‘list and describe a maximum of four projects of similar scope, complexity and risk profile that the proposer and each team member have played...’; Form B states ‘provide a maximum of four projects per submitter and major participant’. Is the number of Form B’s allowed per submittal limited to 4 total?

ANSWER: Yes, submitters are limited to a maximum of four Form B’s; each Form B can have one project listed.

14. Since the specific technology for the Advance Guideway System has not yet been determined, may Responders to the RFSOI assume that the chosen technology could be some version of bus transit?

ANSWER: Responders are free to propose any type of transit they deem appropriate for consideration.

15. The Adaptive Management Approach referenced in the Record of Decision calls for a phased, or incremental approach to making capacity improvements in the I-70 mountain corridor. May Responders to the RFSOI assume that this concept may also be applied to the development of the Advanced Guideway System?

ANSWER: Responders are welcome to propose an Adaptive Management Approach to the AGS.

16. If the Advanced Guideway System can be constructed incrementally, may Responders assume that the initial section from C-470 to Silverthorne can be built on a phased basis?

ANSWER: Proposers can propose any phasing strategy they deem appropriate for consideration.

Q&A for Comparable Proposals for the I-70 Mountain Corridor Eligible Project

17. If Responder "A" is ultimately chosen as the co-Developer, but Responder "B" has a better "conceptual understanding", will there be a "blending" of the two plans? Or will the plan of Responder "A" be accepted "as is"?

ANSWER: Because stipends will be given to the firms submitting proposals in response to the future RFP, CDOT will have the option to blend ideas from the different proposals received.

18. Based on the representations made in their Executive Summary, the Parsons proposal is rather impressive. However, it might also be viewed as being financially aggressive. May Responders assume that it might include an elevated level of financial risk for CDOT?

ANSWER: No further details of the Parsons proposal will be provided beyond the Executive Summary in the RFSOI. Responders are free to make any assumptions they'd like about the Parsons proposal and the parties' levels of risk.

19. If Responders "A", "B" and "C" are ultimately chosen to receive RFP's, but Responder "D" has a "knock your socks off" conceptual understanding, will those firms/consortiums receiving RFP's be asked to consider that aspect of Responder "D's" plan?

ANSWER: No. Statements of Interest, and the ideas that they contain, will be confidential. CDOT will not take a unique idea from one of the SOIs and include it in the RFP as a consideration.